

# Rules and Ancillary Document Review Checklist (This form must be filled out electronically.)

All responses should be in **bold** format.

Document Reviewed (include title): WAC 458-20-199—Accounting methods.

Date last adopted: May 30, 1996

Reviewer: **Greg Potegal** 

Date review completed: March 28, 2000

Is this document being reviewed at this time because of a taxpayer or business association request? (If "YES", provide the name of the taxpayer/business association and a brief explanation of the issues raised in the request). YES  $\square$  NO X

Type an "x" in the column that most correctly answers the question, and provide clear, concise, and complete explanations where needed.

1. Explain the goal(s) and purpose(s) of the document: The purpose of this rule is to describe the methods of accounting taxpayers must use in computing tax liability for the business and occupation tax and retail sales tax.

#### 2. Need:

YES	NO		
X		Is the document necessary to comply with the statutes that authorize it? (E.g.,	
		Is it necessary to comply with or clarify the application of the statutes that are	
		being implemented? Does it provide detailed information not found in the	
		statutes?)	
	X	Is the document obsolete to a degree that the information it provides is of so	
		little value that the document warrants repeal or revision?	
	X	Have the laws changed so that the document should be revised or repealed? (If	
		the response is "yes" that the document should be repealed, explain and identify	
		the statutes the rule implemented, and skip to Section 10.)	
X		Is the document necessary to protect or safeguard the health, welfare (budget	
		levels necessary to provide services to the citizens of the state of Washington),	
		or safety of Washington's citizens? (If the response is "no", the	
		recommendation must be to repeal the document.)	

Please explain.



**3.** Related ancillary documents, court decisions, BTA decisions, and WTDs: Complete Subsection (a) only if reviewing a rule. Subsection (b) should be completed only if the subject of the review is an ancillary document. Excise Tax Advisories (ETAs), Property Tax Bulletins (PTBs) and Audit Directives (ADs) are considered ancillary documents.

(a)

a)			
YES	NO		
	X	Are there any ancillary documents that should be incorporated into this rule?	
		(An Ancillary Document Review Supplement should be completed for each and	
		submitted with this completed form.)	
	X	Are there any ancillary documents that should be repealed because the	
		information is currently included in this or another rule, or the information is	
		incorrect or not needed? (An Ancillary Document Review Supplement should	
		be completed for each and submitted with this completed form.)	
	$\mathbf{X}$	Are there any Board of Tax Appeal (BTA) decisions, court decisions, or	
	Attorney Generals Opinions (AGOs) that provide information that should be		
		incorporated into this rule?	
	$\mathbf{X}$	Are there any administrative decisions (e.g., Appeals Division decisions	
		(WTDs)) that provide information that should be incorporated into the rule?	

**(b)** 

YES	NO	
1123	110	01 11.11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		Should this ancillary document be incorporated into a rule?
		Are there any Board of Tax Appeal (BTA) decisions, court decisions, or
		Attorney Generals Opinions (AGOs) that affects the information now provided
		in this document?
		Are there any administrative decisions (e.g., Appeals Division decisions
		(WTDs)) that provide information that should be incorporated into the
		document?

If the answer is "yes" to any of the questions in (a) or (b) above, identify the pertinent document(s) and provide a <u>brief</u> summary of the information that should be incorporated into the document.

## 4. Clarity and Effectiveness:

YES	NO		
X		Is the document written and organized in a clear and concise manner?	
X		Are citations to other rules, laws, or other authority accurate? (If no, identify the incorrect citation below and provide the correct citation.)	
X		Is the document providing the result(s) that it was originally designed to achieve? (E.g., does it reduce the need for taxpayers to search multiple rules or statutes to determine their tax-reporting responsibilities, help ensure that the tax law and/or exemptions are consistently applied?)	
	X	Do changes in industry practices warrant repealing or revising this document?	
	X	Do any administrative changes within the Department warrant repealing or revising this document?	

Please explain.



5. Intent and Statutory Authority:

YES	NO		
X		Does the Department have sufficient authority <b>to adopt</b> this document? (Cite	
		the statutory authority in the explanation below.)	
$\mathbf{X}$		Is the document consistent with the legislative intent of the statutes that	
		authorize it? (I.e., is the information provided in the document consistent with	
		the statute(s) that it was designed <b>to implement</b> ?) If "no", identify the specific	
		statute and explain below. List all statutes being implemented in Section 9,	
		below.)	
	X	Is there a need to recommend legislative changes to the statutes being	
		implemented by this document?	

### Please explain.

- RCW 82.32.300 authorizes the Department of Revenue to make and publish rules.
- RCW 82.08.090 authorizes the Department of Revenue to provide by rule for the collection of taxes on installment payments or rental payments.
- RCW 82.08.100 authorizes the Department of Revenue to provide by rule that cash basis taxpayers may report taxes on a cash basis.
- **6. Coordination:** Agencies should consult with and coordinate with other governmental entities that have similar regulatory requirements when it is likely that coordination can reduce duplication and inconsistency.

YES	NO		
	X	Could consultation and coordination with other governmental entities and/or	
		state agencies eliminate or reduce duplication and inconsistency?	

Please explain. The Department of Revenue has sole responsibility for administering excise taxes in this area.

**7. Cost:** When responding, consider only the costs imposed by the document being reviewed and not by the statute.

YES	NO	
	X	Have the qualitative and quantitative benefits of the document been considered
		in relation to its costs? (Answer "yes" only if a Cost Benefit Analysis was
		completed when the rule was last adopted or revised.)

Please explain. This is an interpretive rule that imposes no new or additional administrative burdens on businesses that are not imposed by law.

**8. Fairness:** When responding, consider only the impacts imposed by the document being reviewed and not by the statute.

YES	NO		
X		Does the document result in equitable treatment of those required to comply	
		with it?	
	X	Should it be modified to eliminate or minimize any disproportionate impacts on	
		the regulated community?	
	X	Should the document be strengthened to provide additional protection to correct	
		any disproportionate impact on any particular segment of the regulated	
		community?	



Please explain. The rule affects all similarly situated taxpayers in the same fashion.

**9. LISTING OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED:** (Use "bullets" with any lists, and include documents discussed above. Citations to statutes, ancillary documents, and similar documents should include titles. Citations to Attorneys General Opinions (AGOs) and court, Board of Tax Appeals (BTA), and Appeals Division (WTD) decisions should be followed by a brief description (i.e., a phrase or sentence) of the pertinent issue(s).)

Statute(s) Implemented: To the extent the following affect when a tax liability is to be reported:

- RCW 82.04.090 "Value proceeding or accruing."
- RCW 82.08.090 Installment sales and leases.
- RCW 82.08.100 Tax may be paid on cash receipts basis if books are so kept— Exemption for debts deductible as worthless.

Ancillary Documents (i.e., ETAs, PTBs, and ADs):
Court Decisions:
Board of Tax Appeals Decisions (BTAs):
Administrative Decisions (e.g., WTDs):
Attorney General's Opinions (AGOs):
Other Documents (e.g., special notices or Tax Topic articles, statutes or regulations administered by other agencies or government entities, statutes, rules, or other documents that were reviewed but were not specifically relevant to the subject matter of the document being reviewed):
10. Review Recommendation:
Amend
Repeal
Leave as is
Begin the rule-making process for possible revision. (Applies only when the Department has received a petition to revise a rule.)
Incorporate ancillary document into a new or existing rule. (Subject of this review must an ancillary document and not a rule.)

**Explanation of recommendation:** (If recommending an amendment of an existing rule, provide only a brief summary of the changes you've identified/recommended earlier in this review document.) **The rule was last amended in 1996. Laws and conditions have not changed since the last amendment to warrant a revision to the rule.** 



## **Reviewing Rules and Ancillary Documents**

11. Manager action: Date:	
Reviewed recommendation	Accepted recommendation
Returned for further action	
Comments	